

CHATGPT TECHNICAL-LEGAL EVIDENCE REPORT No. 07

Gmail Non-Visibility of the Original Long E-mail Submission of 13 June 2026 Despite Recipient-Side Replies Confirming Its Transmission

Prepared through ChatGPT - AI-Assisted Legal Analysis System

Date of report: 21 June 2026

Field	Content
Reference No.	GPT-HR/DE/IR-EMAIL-ANOMALY-07/2026
Applicant / Petitioner	Ismail Rustam / Rustem Ismail
Address appearing in the submitted text	Wichmannstr. 9, 10787 Berlin
E-mail accounts concerned	un.human.org@gmail.com; un.human.org@icloud.com / un.human.org@ichloud.com as written in the submitted text
Relevant website	www.rustemazeri.com / www.rustamazeri.com as referenced in the submitted material
Primary event date examined	13 June 2026
Technical review date	21 June 2026, during ChatGPT-assisted Gmail review
Status	Technical-legal evidence report; not a forensic certification; independent server-side verification remains required

Documentary basis, verification limits and legal analytical purpose

This report was prepared at the express request of Ismail Rustam. It records a technical and legal-documentary discrepancy identified during ChatGPT-assisted review of Gmail search results and user-provided video/screenshot evidence. It is intended as an organised evidence report, not as a final judicial or forensic determination.

The report distinguishes between: (a) information directly observed through the Gmail connector during this ChatGPT session; (b) information visible in user-provided video/screenshot evidence; (c) the applicant's witness explanation; and (d) matters requiring independent technical verification by Google, Apple/iCloud, recipient institutions or a qualified digital-forensics examiner.

Key limitation: ChatGPT did not perform a full forensic audit of Google server logs, iCloud server logs, device system logs, mail-client databases, or recipient-side mail servers. The finding is a documented non-visibility / non-retrieval finding from the available Gmail search plus corroborating recipient-side traces.

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary
2. Mandate, Scope and Analytical Method
3. Chronology of the Relevant E-mail Events
4. Gmail Search Results Observed by ChatGPT
5. Recipient-Side Evidence Visible in Video/Screenshots
6. The Original Long Submission and Its Legal Content
7. Legal and Technical Assessment
8. Evidence Matrix

9. Required Preservation and Investigation Steps
10. Conclusions and Findings
11. Source Register
12. Appendix A - Original Long Submission Text Provided by the Applicant

1. Executive Summary

This Report No. 07 examines a specific technical-legal anomaly concerning an urgent international human-rights submission of 13 June 2026. The applicant states that a long e-mail was sent early that morning, around 07:04-07:07 CEST, with the subject:

“URGENT EVIDENCE-BASED SUBMISSION: International Protection Request and AI-Assisted Legal Reports on Alleged Long-Term Human-Rights Violations in Germany - Ismail Rustam.”

The applicant later reported that this original long sent e-mail could not be located in Gmail Sent / sent records, although later correction e-mails were visible and although recipient-side replies existed. ChatGPT was asked to search Gmail for the original long message and to determine whether it was visible as a sent e-mail.

ChatGPT searched Gmail for exact and near-exact phrases from the subject and body. The search returned no separate sent item corresponding to the original long e-mail. ChatGPT then searched Gmail Sent for 12-13 June 2026 and observed two later visible sent correction e-mails at 08:48 and 08:52 on 13 June 2026, both with the subject “Correction: Report No. 008 - Revised Strengthened Edition Attached.”

The later correction e-mails are legally significant because they refer to a previous e-mail. They therefore support the existence of an earlier submission, even though the earlier original long e-mail was not found as a separate Gmail Sent item during the ChatGPT-assisted review.

User-provided video and screenshots show recipient-side replies dated 13.06.26, especially a Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply whose subject reproduces the original long subject. The same visual evidence also shows replies from Amnesty International, Vincent Becker, and “letters Internet Drop...” as well as the applicant's long submission text. This creates a material discrepancy: recipient-side evidence indicates that the original long e-mail existed and reached at least one external recipient system, while the corresponding sender-side Gmail Sent record was not visible/retrieved through the Gmail search available to ChatGPT.

1.1 Principal Findings

- The original long e-mail submission of 13 June 2026 was not found by ChatGPT as a separate Gmail Sent item using key subject/body phrases.
- Two later correction e-mails were found in Gmail Sent at 08:48 and 08:52 on 13 June 2026.
- The visible correction e-mails refer to a previous e-mail, supporting the existence of an earlier original submission.
- The user-provided video/screenshots show recipient-side replies dated 13.06.26, including the Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply reproducing the original long subject line.
- The original long submission included serious international-law references, including Genocide Convention Article II(c).
- The discrepancy requires preservation and independent technical examination, including full e-mail headers, Gmail/iCloud logs, device logs and recipient-side records.

2. Mandate, Scope and Analytical Method

The applicant requested a formal numbered legal analysis report, specifically numbered No. 07 rather than 007, because a separate legal analysis already uses the “06-00-07” numbering. This report is therefore titled “CHATGPT TECHNICAL-LEGAL EVIDENCE REPORT No. 07.”

The scope is limited to the e-mail anomaly surrounding the original long submission of 13 June 2026 and the later visible correction e-mails. The report does not decide criminal responsibility, intent, state responsibility or the cause of the non-visibility. Its purpose is to record the documentary discrepancy and identify the evidence requiring preservation and investigation.

2.1 Analytical categories

Category	Meaning in this report
Gmail-observed fact	Information returned by the Gmail connector during ChatGPT-assisted search and review.
Video/screenshot-observed fact	Information visible in the applicant's video or screenshots shared during the session.
Applicant witness statement	The applicant's explanation of what was sent, when it was sent, and what later became missing/non-visible.
Legal assessment	A structured evaluation of evidentiary relevance, procedural importance and investigative implications.
Verification required	A matter that cannot be finally determined without server-side logs, original headers, device logs and/or independent forensic review.

3. Chronology of the Relevant E-mail Events

Date / Time	Event / Evidence	Evidentiary status
12.06.2026, Berlin	The original long submission text is dated "12.06.2026, Berlin, Germany" and states that it was sent electronically from the applicant's personal e-mail address.	Applicant-provided text; visible in the submission reproduced in Appendix A.
13.06.2026, approx. 07:04-07:07 CEST	Applicant states the original long e-mail submission was sent around this time. Prior screenshot/header discussion indicated a timestamp around 07:04:17 +0200 in delivery-related material.	Applicant witness statement and screenshot/header trace requiring full-header preservation and independent verification.
13.06.2026, 08:48 CEST	Gmail Sent shows a later correction e-mail: "Correction: Report No. 008 - Revised Strengthened Edition Attached."	Gmail-observed fact during ChatGPT search.
13.06.2026, 08:52 CEST	Gmail Sent shows a second later correction e-mail with the same correction subject.	Gmail-observed fact during ChatGPT search.
13.06.26, date visible in Mail/iCloud screenshots/video	Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply with the original long subject line.	Video/screenshot-observed fact.
13.06.26, date visible in Mail/iCloud screenshots/video	Amnesty International automatic reply; Vincent Becker reply; "letters Internet Drop..." reply visible in the same e-mail sequence.	Video/screenshot-observed fact.
21.06.2026	ChatGPT rechecked Gmail and again did not find the original long e-mail as a separate sent item using the key phrases.	Gmail-observed fact during ChatGPT review.

4. Gmail Search Results Observed by ChatGPT

ChatGPT searched Gmail using the following subject/body phrases from the original long submission:

- "URGENT EVIDENCE-BASED SUBMISSION"
- "International Protection Request and AI-Assisted Legal Reports"
- "Alleged Long-Term Human-Rights Violations in Germany"
- "URGENT APPEAL AND SUBMISSION OF AI-ASSISTED LEGAL-DOCUMENTARY REPORTS"

The search covered the relevant period around 12-13 June 2026. It returned no separate Gmail e-mail corresponding to the original long submission.

4.1 Gmail Sent items found

Time / Date	Subject	Recipients / significance
13.06.2026 - 08:48 CEST	Correction: Report No. 008 - Revised Strengthened Edition Attached	Visible in Gmail Sent; sent to a broad recipient list including UN/OHCHR-related addresses, international courts or institutions, parliaments, media, Amnesty/HRW/ECCHR, AIDS/HIV-related organisations and state/diplomatic/media contacts.
13.06.2026 - 08:52 CEST	Correction: Report No. 008 - Revised Strengthened Edition Attached	Visible in Gmail Sent; also sent to a broad recipient list. This second correction mailing appears to cover additional or partly overlapping recipients.
13.06.2026 / 12.06.2026 self/archive copies	Plain text copy / Report No. 008-related self copies	Visible as self/archive records, but not equivalent to the original broad international submission.

The original long e-mail was not found as a separate Gmail Sent record. This is the core sender-side non-visibility finding.

4.2 Significance of the correction e-mails

The visible correction e-mails are themselves important evidence because they were not standalone first submissions. Their wording refers to a previous e-mail. In ordinary documentary logic, a correction e-mail sent at 08:48 and 08:52 on 13 June 2026 implies that an earlier message had been sent before the correction.

Therefore, even within Gmail, the correction e-mails support the applicant's chronology that an earlier original submission existed. The anomaly is that the earlier original sent item itself was not found in Gmail.

5. Recipient-Side Evidence Visible in Video/Screenshots

The applicant provided video and screenshots showing the Mail/iCloud message sequence. ChatGPT extracted visual frames from the uploaded video and observed the visible evidence described below.

Visible item	Visible content / significance	Status
Joint Committee on Human Rights	Automatic reply dated 13.06.26. The subject reproduces the original long subject: "URGENT EVIDENCE-BASED SUBMISSION: International Protection Request and AI-Assisted Legal Reports on Alleged Long-Term Human-Rights Violations in Germany - Ismail Rustam."	Strong recipient-side trace that the original long message entered at least this recipient's e-mail system.
Amnesty International	Visible entry dated 13.06.26 with "Automatische Rückantwort / automatic reply..."	Recipient-side automatic reply trace; full header required.
Vincent Becker	Visible entry dated 13.06.26 beginning "Thanks for your message. I am curr..."	Recipient-side reply trace; full header required.
letters Internet Drop...	Visible entry dated 13.06.26 beginning "Greetings! Thank you so much for..."	Recipient-side reply/acknowledgment trace; full header required.
Applicant's long submission text	The video shows the text beginning "URGENT APPEAL AND SUBMISSION OF AI-ASSISTED LEGAL-DOCUMENTARY REPORTS."	Shows the long submission text in the same evidence sequence.

The Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply is especially important because it reproduces the original long subject line. A recipient automatic reply normally uses the subject of the incoming message. This supports the conclusion that an e-mail with that subject reached the recipient's system.

6. The Original Long Submission and Its Legal Content

The original long submission was not merely a routine private message. It was framed as an urgent appeal and submission of AI-assisted legal-documentary reports. It requested international review of alleged long-term human-rights violations and referred to the applicant's evidence archive, legal reports, court files, medical files and other materials.

6.1 International legal provisions expressly invoked

- Rome Statute Article 7(1)(b) and Article 7(2)(b) - extermination through intentional infliction of conditions of life calculated to destroy part of a civilian population, including deprivation of access to medicine, social survival, shelter and essential means of life.
- Rome Statute Article 7(1)(k) - other inhumane acts intentionally causing great suffering or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health.
- Genocide Convention Article II(c) - deliberately inflicting conditions of life calculated to bring about physical destruction, if the required protected-group intent can be established.
- ICCPR Articles 6, 7, 9, 14, 17 and 26.
- UN Convention against Torture.
- CRPD, especially where disability, medical abandonment and denial of equal protection are involved.
- ECHR Articles 2, 3, 6, 8, 13 and 14.

The inclusion of Genocide Convention Article II(c) and other serious international-law provisions heightens the evidentiary importance of preserving the original e-mail, its headers, its routing data, its recipient list and any system logs concerning its transmission, deletion, archiving, filtering or non-visibility.

7. Legal and Technical Assessment

7.1 What can be stated as documented at this stage

- ChatGPT did not find the original long e-mail as a separate sent item in Gmail when searching by key phrases and date range.
- ChatGPT did find the later correction e-mails at 08:48 and 08:52 on 13 June 2026.
- The correction e-mails refer to a prior e-mail and therefore support the applicant's claim that an earlier submission existed.
- User-provided video/screenshots show recipient-side replies dated 13.06.26, especially the Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply reproducing the original long subject.
- The video/screenshots also show Amnesty International, Vincent Becker and "letters Internet Drop..." replies in the same sequence.
- The long submission text itself is visible in the video/screenshot evidence and is reproduced in Appendix A.

7.2 What cannot be finally determined without forensic review

- Whether the original sent item was deleted manually, removed automatically, archived in a location not searched, hidden by synchronization problems, affected by a mail-client issue, filtered, corrupted, or impacted by another technical cause.
- Whether Gmail server-side logs still contain the original Message-ID, SMTP transaction records, delivery attempts, deletion logs or IMAP/Apple Mail client actions.
- Whether iCloud Mail or Apple Mail retained a local copy, cached copy, header, sent item, draft, all-mail record, trash record or synced deletion history.

- Whether recipient institutions can produce the full incoming e-mail with headers and attachments from their mail systems.
- Whether any external interference occurred. That question requires independent forensic analysis and cannot be conclusively determined from the present material alone.

7.3 Legal relevance of non-visibility

The non-visibility of the original sent item is legally relevant because the message concerned urgent protection, alleged grave human-rights violations, and preservation of evidence. If recipient-side replies confirm that such a message reached external systems, but the sender-side sent record is absent/non-visible, the discrepancy itself becomes evidence requiring preservation and investigation.

The matter is not resolved by the existence of later correction e-mails. Those e-mails strengthen the chronology but do not replace the missing original long e-mail, because the original may have contained the full introductory appeal, legal framing, recipient list, attached report package and preservation requests.

8. Evidence Matrix

Evidence item	What it supports	Required verification
Gmail search returning no original long sent item	Supports the non-visibility / non-retrieval finding in Gmail.	Repeat search by Google export / Takeout / server logs / all-mail / trash / IMAP logs.
Gmail Sent correction e-mails at 08:48 and 08:52	Shows later correction transmissions and indicates a previous e-mail existed.	Full headers, Message-IDs, exact body text and recipient lists.
Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply	Strong recipient-side trace that an e-mail with the original long subject reached the recipient.	Full header from the reply and, if possible, the recipient's incoming original e-mail record.
Amnesty International automatic reply	Additional recipient-side trace of the submission chain.	Full header and institutional mail log.
Vincent Becker reply	Additional reply trace.	Full header and identity/role of sender.
letters Internet Drop... reply	Additional reply/acknowledgment trace.	Full header, sender identity and relation to original message.
Video IMG_0207.mp4 / screenshot sequence	Visual preservation of the recipient replies and long text.	Preserve original file, metadata, hashes and device storage context.
Original long submission text	Shows legal content, including Genocide Convention Article II(c).	Compare with any recovered original e-mail body and attachments.

9. Required Preservation and Investigation Steps

1. Export the full Gmail mailbox through Google Takeout, including All Mail, Sent, Trash, Spam, drafts and metadata where available.
2. Request from Google any available account security logs, sent-mail logs, deletion logs, IMAP/SMTP access logs, connected-app access records and device sessions for 12-13 June 2026.
3. Search Gmail and exported MBOX files for the original subject, for the Message-ID of replies, and for body phrases from Appendix A.
4. Preserve the full Apple/iCloud Mail mailbox, including Sent, Inbox, Archive, Trash, Junk and locally cached Apple Mail data if available.
5. Preserve the video file IMG_0207.mp4, all screenshots and their original metadata without editing or compression.
6. Obtain full headers from the Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply, Amnesty International automatic reply, Vincent Becker reply, "letters Internet Drop..." reply and all Mail Delivery System messages.
7. Ask recipient institutions to preserve and, where lawful, provide their incoming-mail records for the original submission with full headers and attachments.

8. Preserve the two visible Gmail correction e-mails at 08:48 and 08:52 with full headers, body text, attachment list and recipient lists.
9. Conduct an independent forensic examination of the Gmail/iCloud discrepancy, including whether the original sent item was deleted, hidden, never synchronized, moved, filtered, corrupted or otherwise rendered non-visible.

10. Conclusions and Findings

The following conclusions are made as technical-legal evidence findings, not as final forensic or judicial determinations:

- The original long e-mail submission of 13 June 2026, around 07:04-07:07 CEST, was not found by ChatGPT as a separate sent e-mail in Gmail using key subject/body searches.
- The later correction e-mails at 08:48 and 08:52 on 13 June 2026 were found in Gmail Sent and were sent to broad recipient lists.
- The later correction e-mails support the existence of an earlier original submission because they refer to a previous e-mail.
- Recipient-side evidence visible in the applicant's video/screenshots, especially the Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply, reproduces the original long subject and indicates that the original e-mail reached at least one external recipient system.
- The original submission contained serious legal allegations and expressly invoked, among other provisions, Genocide Convention Article II(c).
- The discrepancy between recipient-side evidence of receipt and sender-side Gmail non-visibility is material and requires independent technical investigation and evidence preservation.

Accordingly, the central record for this report is: the original long international protection submission appears to have generated external replies, while its own Gmail Sent record was not found during the ChatGPT-assisted Gmail review. This discrepancy should not be dismissed as ordinary confusion without examining the relevant server-side, client-side and recipient-side evidence.

11. Source Register

Code	Source / Evidence	Description
E1	ChatGPT-assisted Gmail search, 21 June 2026	Search for original subject/body phrases returned no separate Gmail item for the original long submission.
E2	Gmail Sent search, 21 June 2026	Visible correction e-mails at 08:48 and 08:52 on 13 June 2026.
E3	User-provided video IMG_0207.mp4	Video sequence showing Joint Committee on Human Rights automatic reply, Amnesty reply, Vincent Becker reply, letters Internet Drop reply, and long submission text.
E4	User-provided screenshots from Mail/iCloud sequence	Screenshots showing the same recipient replies and the long text.
E5	Applicant-provided full text of the original long submission	Reproduced in Appendix A, including Genocide Convention Article II(c) and other legal provisions.
E6	Existing report numbering record	Applicant uploaded existing Report No. 06-00-07, requiring this report to be numbered No. 07 rather than 007.

Appendix A - Original Long Submission Text Provided by the Applicant

The following text is reproduced as provided by the applicant in the ChatGPT session. Minor formatting may be normalised for readability; the substantive wording is preserved.

Ismail Rustam

Wichmannstr. 9

10787 Berlin

www.rustemazeri.com

E-mail: un.human.org@gmail.com

and

un.human.org@ichloud.com

URGENT APPEAL AND SUBMISSION OF AI-ASSISTED LEGAL-DOCUMENTARY REPORTS

To:

The United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights;

United Nations human rights mechanisms, including mechanisms concerning torture, arbitrary detention, enforced disappearance, disability rights and the right to life;

International and regional human-rights organisations;

European human-rights institutions and organisations;

Parliaments, embassies, diplomatic missions and competent state authorities worldwide;

International media organisations, journalists and public-interest investigators;

All institutions, organisations and persons who may receive, read, preserve or forward this material.

I, Ismail Rustam / Rustem Ismail, respectfully submit the attached AI-assisted legal-documentary analyses prepared with the support of ChatGPT/OpenAI, including Legal Analysis Reports No. 001, 002, 003, 004, 005, 006, 007 and 008, as well as the related supporting reports 01, 02, 03, 04 and 05 connected to Report No. 007/008 and the wider evidentiary chain.

Together with these reports, I also submit the PDF containing the 2003 urgent application filed by Rechtsanwalt Muharrem Aras from the Bümlein Rechtsanwaltskanzlei before the Verwaltungsgericht Berlin, 32nd Chamber, case number VG 32 A 599.03. The application, dated 23 October 2003, sought interim relief under §123 VwGO, benefits under §3 AsylbLG, and Prozesskostenhilfe. It was filed after I had suffered a heart attack, undergone heart surgery, and been discharged from hospital, at a time when my health, survival, social protection and access to court had become urgent and life-threatening issues. This document is therefore important evidence that the authorities and the court were formally informed in 2003 that my situation was not an ordinary social-benefit dispute, but a severe medical, survival and access-to-justice emergency. Nevertheless, the application was ultimately not granted, and effective protection and assistance were again refused.

These reports are not the full evidence archive. They represent only one structured part of a much larger body of documents, court records, medical records, police/prosecutorial materials, witness evidence, media evidence, correspondence, video materials, television reports and other files.

I also refer to my documentation archive at www.rustamazeri.com. However, I expressly state that only a small part of my total archive - approximately 10-15% - has been placed there so far. The remaining material includes thousands of documents, videos, media materials, court files, correspondence and other evidence. I have repeatedly faced obstacles, technical disruptions, internet blockages, communication problems and other barriers when trying to upload, organise or send these materials to international organisations. Therefore, no conclusion should be drawn from the website alone as if it represented the complete evidence archive.

I request that my case be examined not merely as isolated administrative errors, but as a long-term destruction of life. My allegation is that my health, family life, work capacity, dignity, legal personality, access to justice, medical protection, social survival and normal human existence were forcibly taken from me through detention, violence, denial of medical and social protection, court decisions, procedural obstruction, forced psychiatric measures, legal guardianship mechanisms, communications blocking, financial pressure, and later street attacks followed by failure to protect and release/non-location of perpetrators.

A central part of my allegation is that the destruction of my health and body is not a natural result of ordinary ageing. After the German court officially corrected its own wrongful criminal judgment in 2005, I had already been officially recognised as severely disabled with an 80% disability degree and complete loss of working capacity. In 2013, this was increased to 100%. I state that my internal organs and bodily systems were progressively damaged through the consequences of detention, denial of medical care, social exclusion, court decisions and institutional obstruction. I suffer from severe chronic illnesses, including life-threatening conditions, and I allege that the documentary and medical chronology shows that these harms developed during the period in which access to medical treatment, social protection and legal remedy was obstructed.

In recent years, this pattern allegedly continued through repeated street attacks, group pressure and physical assaults. In several cases, perpetrators were allegedly identified, detained or placed under police control, but later released or declared "not found" because they allegedly had no German registration. In one incident, according to my evidence, a perpetrator attacked me in front of police after several emergency calls; he was handcuffed, but later released after three diplomat-like persons arrived and spoke with the police. I state that video evidence and official complaint references exist for this incident. These events must be investigated as part of the continuing pattern of non-protection and apparent impunity.

I further state that German parliamentary bodies and authorities had notice of my case over many years. My materials, including documents, CDs/DVDs, USB materials, letters and references to my website/archive, were submitted in connection with parliamentary and petition procedures. The existence of parliamentary file numbers, archive records and closure decisions shows that these matters were not unknown. My allegation is that, despite this notice, the serious violations were not effectively investigated and the pattern continued.

I state clearly that these are my allegations and my responsibility as the applicant. I do not present ChatGPT/OpenAI as a court or witness to the original events. The AI-assisted reports are submitted as structured legal-documentary analysis of my evidence and as a map for independent investigators.

I also wish to state clearly that my complaint is not directed against the German people or the German nation. I do not accuse ordinary German citizens as a people. My allegations concern state-linked, political, judicial, police, medical, administrative

and institutional structures and specific responsible officials or actors. In my personal experience, I have not regarded the German population as my enemy, and I do not seek to create injustice against any nation or society. On the contrary, I have met many decent and fair people in German society. My request is for accountability of the responsible structures and persons, not collective blame against the German people.

I ask that the facts be examined, where applicable, under the strongest relevant international legal categories, including:

- * Rome Statute Article 7(1)(b) and Article 7(2)(b) - extermination through the intentional infliction of conditions of life calculated to destroy part of a civilian population, including deprivation of access to medicine, social survival, shelter and essential means of life;
- * Rome Statute Article 7(1)(k) - other inhumane acts intentionally causing great suffering or serious injury to body or to mental or physical health;
- * Genocide Convention Article II(c) - deliberately inflicting conditions of life calculated to bring about physical destruction, if the required protected-group intent can be established;
- * ICCPR Articles 6, 7, 9, 14, 17 and 26;
- * UN Convention against Torture;
- * CRPD, especially where disability, medical abandonment and denial of equal protection are involved;
- * ECHR Articles 2, 3, 6, 8, 13 and 14.

My position is that what happened to me was not a normal legal dispute or ordinary negligence. I allege that, over many years, state-linked and institutional mechanisms acted together like an organised system, reducing me from a living human being into what I describe as a "living dead" person. I have not committed suicide because I want this truth, the documents and the responsibility to be brought before international institutions.

I therefore request urgent, independent and international review of the attached reports and the underlying evidence. I also request that relevant court files, medical files, police files, prosecutor files, parliamentary files, hospital records, archive materials, camera recordings, communications records and website/domain/hosting records be secured and independently examined.

I also state clearly: I do not have an intention to commit suicide. If, after this submission, any harm, disappearance, sudden death or alleged "suicide" is attributed to me, I request that it not be accepted as suicide without an independent international investigation. In such a situation, I hold the responsible German state structures and authorities accountable and request that all relevant files, communications, cameras, medical records, police records, court files, parliamentary files and archive materials be immediately secured.

This submission is being sent from my personal e-mail address and concerns my own life, identity, documents and evidence archive. Because it is transmitted electronically, I may not be able to add a handwritten signature to every copy. I therefore request that the absence of a handwritten signature not be used to disregard or devalue this submission. The content, sender identity, attached materials and personal evidence archive should be treated together as confirmation that this submission is made by me.

This submission does not end my documentation process. These are the materials I have been able to prepare and transmit up to this point. As further reports, evidence files, translations, video materials, court documents and archive materials are organised, I will continue to send additional submissions and copies to competent international bodies, organisations, media and institutions.

Respectfully,

Ismail Rustam

12.06.2026, Berlin, Germany

Sent electronically from my personal e-mail address

Appendix B - Additional Technical Incident Note Requested by the Applicant

Prepared e-mail draft disappearance during recipient-address entry on 13 June 2026

This additional note is appended at the applicant's request after the existing text of Report No. 07. It is intended to preserve a separate but related technical incident that the applicant states occurred during the preparation and attempted sending of the same international e-mail submission chain on 13 June 2026. This appendix does not alter the earlier sections of the report.

B.1 Nature of the additional incident

The applicant clarifies that the problem was not the deletion of the e-mail body. According to the applicant, the e-mail text, subject line, selected attachments and prepared materials were already complete and ready for sending. The issue arose only in the recipient-address field, where several recipient e-mail addresses appeared more than once.

The applicant states that screenshots of the recipient-address field were provided to ChatGPT, and that ChatGPT identified duplicate recipient addresses from those screenshots. The applicant then attempted to remove only the extra duplicate recipient addresses, but the duplicate addresses could not be removed normally from the address field.

B.2 Recipient-address correction sequence

- The applicant first attempted to delete only the extra repeated recipient addresses, not the e-mail body, subject or attachments.
- When that did not work, the applicant removed the recipient-address list and asked ChatGPT to provide a cleaned recipient list without duplicates.
- ChatGPT then provided the cleaned addresses in a copyable form so that the applicant could re-enter them one by one into the recipient field.
- The applicant states that he was copying the addresses from ChatGPT and pasting them into the Gmail recipient-address field, using the copy function and then pasting into the addressee field.
- The applicant states that at approximately the 14th to 17th re-entered recipient address, while he was still working only in the recipient-address field, the whole prepared e-mail draft suddenly disappeared from the screen.

B.3 Applicant witness statement concerning the disappearance of the draft

According to the applicant, at the moment of disappearance he was not deleting the text, not removing attachments, not changing the subject and not intentionally closing, minimizing or deleting the draft. He states that he was only placing the cleaned recipient e-mail addresses into the address field. The applicant describes the disappearance as sudden, unexpected and not caused by any conscious command by him.

The applicant further states that the disappearance caused an acute distress reaction because the e-mail body, subject, attachments and recipient preparation had required hours of work and appeared to have vanished together with the draft. He informed ChatGPT of this distress during the same assistance process.

B.4 ChatGPT-assisted recovery step

The applicant states that ChatGPT advised him not to assume immediately that the draft had been permanently deleted, to take screenshots, to check whether the draft had been hidden, minimized or moved within the mail application interface, and to follow the visible interface indicators. According to the applicant, after following this guidance, the draft was found again and recovered from a hidden or minimized state. The applicant therefore states that the draft had not been deliberately deleted by him, but had disappeared from the visible screen and was later recovered with ChatGPT assistance.

B.5 Evidentiary significance

For documentation purposes, this incident should not be described as the applicant deleting the e-mail body or mistakenly removing the prepared message. The applicant's clarification is that the disappearance occurred during recipient-address entry after duplicate recipient addresses had been identified and removed from the recipient list. The prepared e-mail body, subject and attachments were, according to the applicant, already complete before this address-field incident occurred.

The applicant interprets this event as an abnormal interference-like technical incident because the draft vanished while he was only copying and pasting recipient addresses into the addressee field. At the same time, this report records that the precise technical cause cannot be finally determined without independent forensic review of the device, Gmail/Google logs, Apple/iCloud/Apple Mail state, application activity, synchronization records and any available screenshots or screen recordings.

B.6 Preservation and verification steps specific to this incident

- Preserve all screenshots showing duplicate recipient addresses in the Gmail address field.
- Preserve the ChatGPT conversation screenshots in which duplicate addresses were identified and the cleaned address list was provided.
- Preserve screenshots or video showing the draft disappearance, any minimized draft indicators, and the later recovery of the draft if available.
- Preserve Gmail drafts, sent items, trash, all-mail records, local Apple Mail/iCloud Mail state, device logs and account activity records for the relevant time window on 13 June 2026.
- Request independent technical review to determine whether the disappearance was caused by ordinary Gmail/iOS user-interface behavior, synchronization, app state change, memory/app refresh, draft minimization, account/server behavior, or another technical cause.

B.7 Limited finding

The limited finding for this appendix is that the applicant reports a second technical irregularity on 13 June 2026: a prepared Gmail draft with completed body, subject and attachments allegedly vanished from the screen while the applicant was only re-entering cleaned recipient addresses supplied through ChatGPT. The draft was later found again, apparently hidden or minimized, with ChatGPT guidance. This incident is relevant to the overall e-mail evidence chain because it occurred during the same international submission process and because it concerns the integrity, visibility and handling of the prepared e-mail draft before transmission.