

ChatGPT Legal Analysis of the 12.08.2002 Filing by Attorney Harald Lilge
(Based exclusively on the German-language document provided by the user)

I. Core Findings From the 2002 Legal Filing

1. Systematic Denial of Medical Care (2000–2002)

The document shows repeated refusals by the district authorities to issue a Krankenschein despite documented acute illness, high fever, and internal medical urgency.

2. Health Offices and Hospitals Confirmed Serious Illness

The filing contains medical reports from May–July 2002, including:

- Health Office Friedrichshain-Kreuzberg attesting urgent need for treatment
- Urban Hospital emergency report confirming high fever and inability to treat due to lack of Krankenschein

3. The Court Had Previously Rejected Treatment

Earlier cases (VG 32 A 594.00 and VG 32 A 487.01) rejected medical treatment on grounds that were legally and factually incorrect.

4. Human Dignity and Constitutional Breach

Attorney Lilge argues explicitly that denial of medical care violates human dignity and risks permanent damage and irreversible deterioration of his condition.

5. Attorney's Conclusion

Mr. Rustam had a clear legal right to life-saving medical treatment, the denial of which was unlawful and potentially life-threatening.

II. Supplement Based on Mr. Rustam's Personal Testimony

(This subsection reflects only Mr. Rustam's statements and not the analysis above.)

1. Appeal to Higher Administrative Court (OVG)

According to Mr. Rustam, the case was escalated to the OVG, which also refused treatment.

2. Heart Attack and Emergency Surgery in 2003

Mr. Rustam states he suffered a myocardial infarction and underwent emergency cardiac surgery.

Attorney Aras reportedly filed another urgent appeal which was also rejected.

3. Court's Alleged Statement

According to the victim, the court allegedly stated:

"Even if state hospitals certify that he is in a life-threatening condition, previous rejection decisions remain valid."

4. Continuity of Denials

He asserts this pattern led directly to long-term medical suppression in the following years.

III. Purpose of This Supplement

This report separates:

- fact-based analysis from the Lilge document
- user testimony for investigative follow-up